

## **Can You Live a Normal Life with Half a Brain?**

A few extreme cases show that people can be missing large chunks of their brains with no significant ill-effect – why? Tom Stafford explains what it tells us about the true nature of our grey matter.

By Tom Stafford / 18 December 2014 / SOURCE: <u>http://www.bbc.com/future/story/20141216-can-you-live-with-half-a-brain</u>



birth. A pretty standard biography for a 24-year-old.

How much of our brain do we actually need? A number of stories have appeared in the news in recent months about people with chunks of their brains missing or damaged. These cases tell a story about the mind that goes deeper than their initial shock factor. It isn't just that we don't understand how the brain works, but that we may be thinking about it in the entirely wrong way.

Earlier this year, a <u>case was reported</u> of a <u>woman</u> <u>who is missing her cerebellum</u>, a distinct structure found at the back of the brain. By some estimates the human cerebellum contains half the brain cells you have. This isn't just brain damage – the whole structure is absent. Yet this woman lives a normal life; she graduated from school, got married and had a kid following an uneventful pregnancy and

The woman wasn't completely unaffected – she had suffered from uncertain, clumsy, movements her whole life. But the surprise is how she moves at all, missing a part of the brain that is so fundamental it evolved with the first vertebrates. The <u>sharks that swam when dinosaurs walked the Earth had cerebellums</u>.

This case points to a sad fact about brain science. We don't often shout about it, but there are large gaps in even our basic understanding of the brain. We can't agree on the function of even some of the most important brain regions, such as the cerebellum. Rare cases such as this show up that ignorance. Every so often someone walks into a hospital and their brain scan reveals the <u>startling differences we can have inside our heads</u>. Startling differences which may have only small observable effects on our behaviour.

Part of the problem may be our way of thinking. It is natural to see the brain as a piece of naturally selected technology, and in human technology there is often a one-to-one mapping between structure and function. If I have a toaster, the heat is provided by the heating element, the time is controlled by the timer and the popping up is driven by a spring. The case of the missing cerebellum reveals there is no such simple scheme for the brain.

Although we love to talk about the brain region for vision, for hunger or for love, there are no such brain regions, because the brain isn't technology where any function is governed by just one part.

Take another recent case, that of a man who was found to have a tapeworm in his brain. Over four years it burrowed "from one side to the other", causing a variety of problems such as seizures, memory problems and weird smell sensations. Sounds to me like he got off lightly for having a living thing move through his brain. If the brain worked like most designed technology this wouldn't be possible. If a worm burrowed from one side of your phone to the other, the gadget would die. Indeed, when an early electromechanical computer malfunctioned in the 1940s, an investigation revealed the problem: a moth trapped in a relay – the first actual case of a computer bug being found.



Part of the explanation for the brain's apparent resilience is its 'plasticity' – an ability to adapt its structure based on experience. But another clue comes from a <u>concept advocated by Nobel</u> <u>Prize-winning neuroscientist Gerald Edelman</u>. He noticed that biological functions are often supported by multiple structures – single physical features are coded for by multiple genes, for example, so that knocking out any single gene can't prevent that feature from developing apparently normally. He called the ability of multiple different structures to support a single function 'degeneracy'.

<u>And so it is with the brain</u>. The important functions our brain carries out are not farmed out to single distinct brain regions, but instead supported by multiple regions, often in similar but slightly different ways. If one structure breaks down, the others can pick up the slack.

This helps explain why cognitive neuroscientists have such problems working out what different brain regions do. If you try and understand brain areas using a simple one-function-per-region and one-region-per-function rule you'll never be able to design the experiments needed to unpick the degenerate tangle of structure and function.

The cerebellum is most famous for controlling precise movements, but other areas of the brain such as the basal ganglia and the motor cortex are also intimately involved in moving our bodies. Asking what unique thing each area does may be the wrong question, when they are all contributing to the same thing. Memory is another example of an essential biological function which seems to be supported by multiple brain systems. If you bump into someone you've met once before, you might remember that they have a reputation for being nice, remember a specific incident of them being nice, or just retrieve a vague positive feeling about them – all forms of memory which tell you to trust this person, and all supported by different brain areas doing the same job in a slightly different way.

Edelman and his colleague, Joseph Gally, called degeneracy a "ubiquitous biological property ... a feature of complexity", claiming it was an inevitable outcome of natural selection. It explains both why unusual brain conditions are not as catastrophic as they might be, and also why scientists find the brain so confounding to try and understand.

## Man with almost no brain leads normal life

## But researchers don't know how he can function so well without it.

## BY Jenn Savedge / July 14, 2016

SOURCE: http://www.mnn.com/health/fitness-well-being/blogs/man-almost-no-brain-leads-normal-life

We know our brains are important to our overall physical and mental health. But a man in France is challenging commonly-held medical beliefs about how much of our brains we need to survive. The man — whose identity has been withheld to protect his privacy — is missing roughly 90 percent of his brain, yet he leads a perfectly normal life complete with a wife, children and a government job.

According to a study first published in the U.K. medical journal <u>The Lancet</u>, the 44-year-old Frenchman went to the doctor complaining about weakness in his left leg. When doctors could not find an orthopedic cause for his symptoms, they used magnetic resonance imaging to take a closer look at his brain. They were shocked to discover that it was almost entirely missing.

After a more thorough medical history, the doctors — including Lionel Feuillet, Henry Dufour and Jean Pelletier — learned that their patient had been born with hydrocephalus, a condition that causes a buildup of fluid in the brain. The man had been treated for the condition as an infant with the insertion of a shunt in his brain to drain the excess of fluid. The shunt stayed in place until doctors inexplicably removed it when he was 14.

Doctors believe the man continued to produce excessive fluid in his brain, fluid that over the next several decades eroded his brain until all that was left was a thin perimeter of brain tissue.

That explains what happened to his brain, but it doesn't explain how he could function so well without it. How was he able to talk, move and solve problems without a fully functioning frontal lobe, the area of the brain that typically controls these actions? How was he able to remember anything without a complete hippocampus, to control his body temperature without a full-sized hypothalamus, or to walk without a normal cerebellum?

Testing revealed that the man had an IQ of 75, which is lower than the average score of 100, but not low enough to be considered mentally challenged or disabled. Rather, this man appeared to be living a relatively normal life. He was married, had two children and was employed in what the doctors described as a "white-collar" government job. All of this with only about 10 percent of a functioning brain.

One theory that explains this man's remarkable life is that of brain plasticity, the idea that the brain is able to reorganize itself to allow functioning areas to take over the jobs of the sections of the brain that are not functioning.

It's a rather incredible idea that challenges our whole notion of the brain and how it works and which parts of it are necessary for survival.

In this man's case, the doctors believe it was the slow erosion over time that allowed the brain to continually build new pathways and to keep functioning at a normal level.